

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

East Gull Lake, Minnesota; Non-School State Programs

Primary Credit Analyst:

Melody W Vinje, Englewood + 1 (303) 721 4163; melody.vinje@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Scott Nees, Chicago + 1 (312) 233 7064; scott.nees@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Credit Highlights

Outlook

Credit Opinion

Minnesota State Standing Appropriation Program

Related Research

Summary:

East Gull Lake, Minnesota; Non-School State Programs

Credit Profile

US\$1.055 mil GO swr rev bnds ser 2024A dtd 01/25/2024 due 02/01/2039

| | | |
|---|------------|-----|
| <i>Long Term Rating</i> | AAA/Stable | New |
| <i>Underlying Rating for Credit Program</i> | AA-/Stable | New |

Credit Highlights

- S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AAA' long-term rating and 'AA-' underlying rating for credit program to the City of East Gull Lake, Minn.'s anticipated \$1.1 million series 2024A general obligation (GO) sewer revenue bonds.
- The outlook on all ratings is stable.

Security

The city's full faith and credit secures the series 2024A bonds; in addition, the city pledges revenues from its sewer utility. We rate to the GO pledge as the legal provisions are insufficient to rate to the utility pledge under our criteria. Bond proceeds will be used to finance wastewater projects.

Credit overview

The rating reflects East Gull Lake's large tax base relative to the size of its population, with very strong incomes, and operating budget supported predominately by property taxes. The city's tax base grew 68% in the past six years, with a pattern of residents moving out of city centers to lakeside properties, and highly valued secondary homes. Although East Gull Lake has limited operations, with a nominally small budget, the city maintains reserves above its informal policy of 50% operating expenses on hand. While the city's debt burden is high with elevated fixed costs, we do not expect it will crowd out operational spending due to the size of its growing tax base in conjunction with resident wealth levels, and statutory taxing flexibility to raise the levy as needed.

Overlapping debt is significant with \$93.5 million attributable to Brainerd School District (compared with the city's \$3.2 million in direct debt); debt service payments for both the district and City of East Gull Lake have been steady, and as we understand affordable for residents. The city has limited operations and assets, five full-time staff, public safety is contracted out to third parties, with low pension exposure and costs as a percent of budget. In addition to stable revenues consisting mostly from property taxes, the city has ample taxing flexibility as a Minnesota municipality with no levy limitations.

The 'AA-' underlying rating further reflects our assessment of the city's:

- Extremely strong market value per capita, reflecting the value of lake homes and the low-density population;
- Maintenance of very strong general fund reserves on a percentage basis and history of positive general fund

operations;

- Adequate management with standard financial-management policies and practices under our Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology and a strong institutional framework score; and
- Moderate direct debt burden but high overlapping debt when including neighboring municipalities of Brainerd Schools and Pillager Schools; Brainerd Independent School District issued about \$209 million of voter-approved debt between 2018 and 2023 for new school buildings, \$93 million is attributable to East Gull Lake. The school district's levy has been in place for five years and remains steady. We do not expect overlapping debt will change materially in the near term.

Environmental, social, and governance

We view the county's environmental, social, governance (ESG) risks as neutral within our credit rating analysis. Despite the city's proximity to lakes, management reports no financial or economic loss due to flooding or weather events.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view that the city will likely maintain strong finances and at least stable economic metrics during the next two fiscal years. The stable outlook on the long-term rating reflects that of Minnesota.

Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if the city posts any material reduction in reserves.

Upside scenario

We would raise the rating if the city builds up reserves and maintains at nominal levels comparable with those of peers of a higher rating, along with debt service costs declining to a modest portion of the city's total government budget, couples with adoption of more-robust policies and practices. However, we do not anticipate this will occur in the two-year outlook horizon.

Credit Opinion

Small population, but growing tax base with recreational-based economy

East Gull Lake is 140 miles north of Minneapolis and 125 miles from Duluth. The city's population grew in the past decade and is expected to continue expanding by at least 10 new single-family homes per year and flexibility for individuals to relocate and work remotely. In preparation of additional residential growth from a new development with room for 137 single-family homes, the city has expanded operations of its southern wastewater facilities and will be decommissioning its northern wastewater plant for greater operational and cost efficiencies. Market values have increased dramatically with single-family new builds and renovations of smaller seasonal properties into permanent residences. The city anticipates more population growth with new developments in progress, citing 12 new homes built in 2023. East Gull Lake's top taxpayers and employers are lake resorts and golf courses. Incomes are above the national average, supporting the city's very strong economic profile. We view the city's robust incomes and market values as providing ample room to support capital needs, debt service, and to raise the levy without affecting

affordability.

Positive general fund operations historically; budgeting to build reserves in fiscal 2024

The city's general fund has been stable, with annual surpluses due to positive variance, and available reserves have averaged 62% in the past three years, which we consider low on a nominal basis. We expect the county's financial flexibility and liquidity will be very strong, with available reserves to at least remain stable in 2023. The city has about \$1 million in direct-debt placements outstanding, these placements do not contain acceleration provisions or nonstandard events of default, therefore we do not consider these to be liquidity risks.

The fiscal 2023 general fund budget is structured with a deficit to reflect planned spending for various capital projects, resulting in a deficit of \$8,000. The fiscal 2024 original budget also reflects large capital spending relative to the budget (negative \$283,000), using built-up committed balances for trail and parks and a portion of available general fund reserves. However, with the 2024 approved levy increase, management expects to end 2024 with a large surplus, restoring reserves to \$460,000. In 2025 the city is budgeting to continue to add to reserve levels. Property tax represented 68% of total general fund revenues in fiscal 2022, which we view as a stable revenue source supporting the city's finances.

The city is directing considerable spending toward capital projects in its general fund, and funds this through taxes and committed reserves for these projects in both the general fund and intergovernmental funds, which can result in some volatile total governmental performance. The wastewater system has posted deficits in past years, driven by maintenance, salary, and capital costs. Net operating revenues are expected to improve as the city commissions the northern plant, reducing operating costs, and with anticipated rate increases over the next few years.

Adequate FMA and a strong institutional framework score

Officials use at least five years historical look back and outside sources when developing the budget. Management provides the board with monthly budget-to-actual and investment reports. Capital planning is mainly at the departmental level, focused on roads and wastewater projects. The city has an informal fund balance policy requiring unassigned reserves to be 50% of expenditures, which the city historically has exceeded. The city does not have an investment or debt policy, nor does it produce a long-term financial forecast. Management has cyber-mitigation measures in place and has not had any issues with data breaches.

The institutional framework score of Minnesota cities with a population less than 2,500 people is strong.

Manageable fixed costs despite high debt burden

With this issuance, the city will have \$3.5 million in net direct debt outstanding, but the city's overlapping debt is \$98 million outstanding significantly inflating overall net debt. The city plans to issue \$2.9 million in debt to finance wastewater projects to complete the transition to the southern wastewater treatment plant. Given the systems' already high overall net debt and elevated fixed debt service costs compared with the budget, the additional debt will not worsen the debt score.

Pension benefits are manageable and do not impair credit quality

The city participates in cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the Public Employees Retirement Association of Minnesota (PERA). The plan's contributions are a modest share of expenses, the

associated liability is \$348,000 (at year-end June 30, 2022); the city does not offer postemployment benefits. We believe the plans' costs are unlikely to accelerate meaningfully in the medium term, but pose some long-term risk of cost acceleration due to back-loaded contributions and an extended amortization period (For more information, see "Pension Spotlight: Minnesota," published Aug. 10, 2023, on RatingsDirect.)

Minnesota State Standing Appropriation Program

The 'AAA' long-term rating on the series 2024A bonds reflects our view of the city's eligibility for, and participation in, Minnesota's credit enhancement program for cities and counties, a state standing appropriation program administered by the Public Facilities Authority to prevent a default on the city's bond issues as authorized by Minnesota State Statutes, Section 446A.086. Under the program, the state will pay debt service on behalf of East Gull Lake from the state's general fund if the county fails to meet its debt service obligations for the qualified debt. Payments from the state represent a standing appropriation from Minnesota's general fund. We view this standing appropriation pledge as equivalent to a general fund pledge because it does not require adoption of a budget or any action of the legislature to make payment. Furthermore, the standing appropriation is not subject to executive unallotment authority. The credit enhancement program supports projects that are central to the state's operations and purpose. We see no unusual political, timing, or administrative risk related to the debt payment. The rating on obligations that have received enhancement under the program reflects that on the State of Minnesota and moves in tandem with the state rating.

| East Gull Lake, Minn.--Key credit metrics | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------------------|---------|-------|
| | Most recent | Historical information | | |
| | | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
| Strong economy | | | | |
| Projected per capita EBI % of U.S. | 131.3 | | | |
| Market value per capita (\$) | 887,683 | | | |
| Population | | 1,058 | 1,047 | 1,036 |
| County unemployment rate(%) | | 4.2 | | |
| Market value (\$000) | 939,169 | 686,307 | 574,630 | |
| Ten largest taxpayers % of taxable value | 11.7 | | | |
| Adequate budgetary performance | | | | |
| Operating fund result % of expenditures | | 27.6 | 8.4 | 8.5 |
| Total governmental fund result % of expenditures | | -9.7 | 0.2 | -1.6 |
| Very strong budgetary flexibility | | | | |
| Available reserves % of operating expenditures | | 65.0 | 61.2 | 60.0 |
| Total available reserves (\$000) | | 412 | 305 | 275 |
| Very strong liquidity | | | | |
| Total government cash % of governmental fund expenditures | | 98.6 | 108.6 | 93.4 |
| Total government cash % of governmental fund debt service | | 324.4 | 315.5 | 256.6 |
| Adequate management | | | | |
| Financial Management Assessment | Standard | | | |

East Gull Lake, Minn.--Key credit metrics (cont.)

| | Most recent | Historical information | | |
|---|-------------|------------------------|------|------|
| | | 2022 | 2021 | 2020 |
| Very weak debt and long-term liabilities | | | | |
| Debt service % of governmental fund expenditures | | 30.4 | 34.4 | 36.4 |
| Net direct debt % of governmental fund revenue | 310.9 | | | |
| Overall net debt % of market value | 10.7 | | | |
| Direct debt 10-year amortization (%) | 78.9 | | | |
| Required pension contribution % of governmental fund expenditures | | 2.6 | | |
| OPEB actual contribution % of governmental fund expenditures | | 0 | | |

Strong institutional framework

Data points and ratios may reflect analytical adjustments. EBI--Effective buying income. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits.

Related Research

- Through The ESG Lens 3.0: The Intersection Of ESG Credit Factors And U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, March 2, 2022
- 2022 Update Of Institutional Framework For U.S. Local Governments

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.spglobal.com/ratings for further information. Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.spglobal.com/ratings.

Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.